G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 223

1915.

Serial Nos. 1–

(Inward)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–2

Part B for Jan 1916

SUBJECT.

Re the Removal of Vadagale Nama on the Anjaneya Vahana etc. of the Sri Gunjan Swami Temple at T. Narasipur.

To Upadhyaya Narasimhachar & others

copy to - D.C. Mysore

No 1638 dated the 15th Jan 1916

No 1639 do

Informs the petitioners that it is not possible to alter the existing practice and forwards a copy of the letter to D.C. Mysore

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—18-12-15

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

In re the removal of Vadagale nama on the Anjaneya Vahana etc. of the Sri Ugranarasimha-swamy temple at T. narsipur.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Distt.

No. 394 dated 10th March 1908.

Upadhya Narasimha char and certain other Vadagale residents of T narsipur petitioned this office complaining that at the instigation of Tengale Sri vaishnavas, the Vadagale namams on the Dhwajasthamba, Anjaneya Vahana belonging to the Sri Ugra Narasimhaswamy temple at the place have been removed

(Slip 9)

The petition was forwarded for report to the Deputy Commr Mysore District, and that Officer has

File No. ११२, of 15 — Muzrai Secretariat.

Submitted a detailed report thereon together with the records of enquiry

Head Officer reports that a broken and unserviceable Hanumantha Vahana (Slip K with signs of Vadagale nama) on the (Slip L forehead was in the Sri Ugnija Nara-simhaswamy temple at Tirumalapur; that a goldsmith by name Raghavaiah represented to the then Amildar (Mr (Slip M M G Krishnasami Rao) that the Vahana was an offer of his community, that it was usual for them to conduct the Hanumanthavahana Utsavam on the 3rd day after the car festival and prayed for permission to have it repaired and coloured; that seeing that there was dispute between the Tengale and Vadagale Sri Vaishnavas regarding namams, the Amildar directed that pending the result of the dispute, the repairs might be attended to by Raghavaiah, if he was willing to put on a Tilaka to the Vahana; that the petitioner agreed

to this with the result that only a Silaka was put on and that no funds from the temple are reported to have ever been given on account of the Hanumantha Vahana which was received as a Servantha He further states that when the matter came up before him in 1904, he requested the Sub Divl Officer to furnish him with his opinion and that after personal inspection, that Officer reported that except the (Slip N) Hanumantha Vahana, all other Vahanas bore the Vadagale nama and that it could not be believed that the Hanumantha Vahana had a Tengale Nama and that as the dispute of Namams was before Govt, their orders might be awaited The present Divisional Officer after personal inspection states

File No. 223 of 1915 Muzrai Secretariat

that all other Vahanas but the one in question bear the Vadagale mark, and the Dhwaja Kata at Melkote is reported to have a Vadagale Nama the Dy. Commissioner is of opinion that from the above, it is probable that the Dhwaja Kata and the Hanumantha Vahana has also Vadagale Namas and adds that the Tengale sect of Sri Vaishnavas however take objection to the grant of the petitioners' prayer. In fine, that Officer solicits the favor of orders on the matter, as much importance is attached to Namas by the Sri-Vaishnava community and as this question often brings on disputes.

Submitted.

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat File No. 223 of 1894

Two Kanarese circular orders issued under the signature of Rao Bahadur Mr. A. Sreenivasa Chariar the late Muzrai Secretary in the year 1894 are herewith submitted. In both of them the policy of Govt has been laid down to the effect that as no mention is made in the Agamas that Vahanas and other paraphernalia should have any Namams, no such articles repaired or prepared hereafter should bear either the Tengalai or the Vadagalai Namams.

In the present case, the Hanumantha Vahana was formerly a gift & the goldsmith commuted the place repaired & coloured it & presented it to the temple putting on a Tilaka on it. His

File No. 293 of 1905 Muzrai Secretaria

is in accordance with the orders mentioned above.

Submitted
4/7.4.08

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:-

It appears from the reports of the Local Officers that the Namams used in this temple are all Vadagalai marks. It is possible therefore that the Hanumantha Vahana had also a Vadagalai mark before it became quite dilapidated. The devotee who originally gave the Vahana and subsequently repaired it has fixed a Tilaka and there is no reason why it should be changed. Mr. A. Srinivasacharlu's Circular of 8th Nov. 1904 has made it imperative that new paraphernalia whether made by Govt or by devotees should not have Vadagalai or Tengalai marks. 1894 K17

This is a wholesome rule and therefore the

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat File No. 223 of 1907

Thakka mark on the Kammanthan Vihara
need not be disturbed.

Petitioners may be informed
accordingly and copy communicated to
Dy Comm

Submitted to the 2nd Commissioner) Assistant Commissioner
8-4-1908

Approved. The circular of
8th Nov 1894 is full of
wisdom. The present dispute
is a case in point

11/4
8/4/08

Draft Submitted
AV
11/4/08

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat

Muzrai Secretariat

File No. 223 of 1905

Note continued

Orders were accordingly Communicated to Upadhya Narasimhachar and other Vaisagale residents of Y. narsipur in this office Endorsement of the 25th April 1908 and a copy thereof was forwarded to the Dy Commr, Mysore District

They have memorialized H. H. the Maharaja praying for a re-consideration of the foregoing orders relating to the Hanumantha Vahana of the Sri Ganja Narasimhaswamy temple They complain that the question of the Vaisagale mark on "Dhwaja Patada Garuda Devaru" touched in their original petition, has been lost sight of and pray for specific orders on this matter

(Appeal under disposal)

File No. 2.23 of 1905 Muzrai Secretariat

also

The memorial has been forwarded to this office for disposal.

Submitted.

Send the memorial to the Dy Comm: Ulsoor Dt in cont: of this Office No 4753 dy 25th April 1908 and request information on the foll: points. Is the Dhwaja Pata a permanent paraphernalia in the temple, or is it prepared only on special occasions. What namam - Vadagalai or Tengalai - used to be put on it, and what is his opinion in the matter. What has taken place regarding the putting up of a Tilaka on the Dhwaja Pata as alleged in the memorial

Recd 8

9.6.08

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 223 of 1915.

Serial No.	
1	From, The Dy Commr, Mysore Dist Letter no. 25 Dy 12-7-1915. Furnishes further information re the nama on the Dhwajapatada Garuda in the Sri Ganja Narasimha Swami Temple at T. Narasipur. The point for decision in this case is as to what Nama should be used on Hanuma Vahana and Dhwaja-pata Garuda of Sri Ganjanarasimha Swami Temple at Narasipura. From the previous pages of the office-note, it will be seen that certain Vadagale residents of T. Narasipur petitioned Govt praying that Vadagale Nama should be used on Hanuma Vahana which is a Sevartha to the Temple. On receipt of the Dy. Commrs. report called for on the petition, after a full discussion of the facts of the case, Govt following the principles laid down in the circular order of 1894, decided that Tilaka should be used on Hanuma Vahana which was in a ruined condition, but subsequently restored by the Sevarthadars

Govt no 4752 Dy 254-08
Dy. Y

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mer File No. 223 of 1915.

Serial No.	
Pg. X	The Vadagalais memorialized H.H. the Maharaja praying for a re-consideration of the Sarkar order relating to Hanuma Vahana, and also for specific orders on the use of Vadagale mark on Dhwajapata Garuda.
	The Dy. Commr has submitted further report called for in this office docket No. 5535 Dy 11th June 08 about the Nama on Dhwajapata Garuda. That officer reports that the Dhwajapata is prepared only during Ratotsava, that the Vadagale party asserted that it has Vadagale mark while the Tengale party asserted that it had Tengale mark that no mention of the Nama to D. Pata Garuda was made in the copy of the Namam list of 1874 produced by Upadhya Narasimhachar and adds that it bears a Tilaka now and that Tengale party has no objection to this practice.
Pg. V	The Dy. Commr recommends that under the above circumstances, Sarkar orders may be issued directing the continuance of the practice of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

101

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 223 of 1915.

Serial No.	
1	putting Tilaka on Dhwajapata Jenda following the principle referred to in the enclosure of this office memo No 4753 Dt 25-4-1908 Viz Circular order of 1894. Submitted for order N (95) 9.11.1915 Draft an endorsement accepting the Dr's views 12.11.15 Submtd. with a Dft. endorsement. N (95) 13.11.1915 Subd by V. member 30.11.15 Draft appd by First member MJ 12.1.
2	No. 1638 Dt 15.1.1916 regarding Narasimhachariar's Nadaswaram performance being held at the Vasantha

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6-15

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 223 of 191 5

Serial No.	
	to alter the existing practice with regard to Nanams to Hanumanvahanam of Rajakata in the Sree Gunjanarasimha Swami temple, as per his Prayer.
	No. 1639 of 15.1.16, addressed to, as a copy of the above herein, with reference to the above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secy to Government
Genl. & Rev. Depts.
Surgai Branch

Dated

Despatched 12th July 1915

Received

General No 25.
No.

Enclosure No. Confdl.

Furnishing the information
re. the Ramanna on the
Dhwajapatada Ganda in
the Guja Narasimhaswamy
temple at T Narsipur

Ex: file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 12th July 1915.

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esq. B. A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

DIARY No. 1.0.1
1915 16
ION Mur
FILE No. 2:3-15
SERIAL No. 7

To

D. N. Narasinga Rao, Esq. B. A., B. L.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.
Mugrai Branch.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no: 5535 dated 11th June 1908 and subsequent communications calling for information regarding the kind of Namam to be put on the Dhwaja-patāda Gandadvara in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple at T. Narasipur, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire correspondence ending with Nanjangud Subdivision officer's yadi no: 331/1977 dated 9/15=6-1915.

It is seen from the above that
the Dhwajapatā is prepared only during

(2) The Vadagale party assert that it contains Vadagale Namam, Tengale party contending that it contains one of Tengale kind. No records supporting the assertion of either party are forthcoming except the Namada list produced by Upadhya Narasimhachar in support of his statement that the Anjaneyavahana contains Vadagale Namam. Nor does this list mention what kind of namam the Dhwaja patada Ganda had. It however bears a tilaka now, and one party, namely, Tengale Sect, has no objection to this practice.

Under these circumstances I request that Government orders may be issued directing the continuance of the pratice of putting the tilaka mark on the Dhwajapatada Ganda, following the principle referred to in the enclosure of your memo no: 4753 of 25-6-1908 a copy of which is contained in the enclosed file.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

1336

GOVERNMENT
4551
13/5/06

ಹೊಂದಬೇಕಲ್ಲಾ ಅಷ್ಟೆಂದಾಲೂ ಕಾರ್ಯಮುಖವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು
ಹೇಳಿ —

ವಕೀಲರು ಹೀಗೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಹಣವಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು
ಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವುದು ಉಂಟು. ಆದರೆ ಅವರ ಸ್ವಾಭಿಮಾನ
ಗುಂಜಾರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಎಷ್ಟೇ ಕಷ್ಟಪಟ್ಟರೂ
ಯಾರಿಗೂ ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡಲು ಇಷ್ಟವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ
ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಕಾರಣವೂ ಇದೆ. ಅವರು ಯಾರಿಗಾದರೂ ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡಿದರೆ
ಅವರು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಇರುವ ಹಣವನ್ನೂ ಕಿತ್ತುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.
ಅವರು ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡಿದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಸಿಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡಿದರೆ
ಅವರ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹಣವೂ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.
ಅವರು ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡಿದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಸಿಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡಿದರೆ
ಅವರ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹಣವೂ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಕೈಯೊಡ್ಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Vedaula Daugalar

60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67 Srinivasa Iyengar
68

ನಂ 4752

ﻣﺎﻟﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺘﺠﺎﺭﻳﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺼﻨﺎﻋﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺰﺭﺍﻋﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺒﻨﻮﻛﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﻌﻘﺎﺭﻳﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺴﻴﺎﺣﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺨﺪﻣﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺘﻌﻠﻴﻤﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺼﺤﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺘﻘﻨﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﻤﻬﻨﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺤﺮﻓﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﻤﺼﺮﻓﻴﺔ ﻭ ﺍﻟﺘﺄﻣﻴﻨ

Copy B. O.

(S-d) K. Nanjundiyya
Hd Quarters

ಪ್ರತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣೀಕರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

0-8-0

ಕೆಂಪಾಗಿರುವುದು — 1-3-0

ಕಾಪಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದು — 5-2-0

(Sd) ಸಹಿ

ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 648

ಸಾಗುವಳಿ 0-10-6

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಖರಾಬು 0-1-2

0-11-8

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No 1638 తే 15/6/16
Mus 223-15-2

GPB—20,000—7-7-14

పెద్దాపురంలో కోర్టు నిర్మాణానికి అనుమతి
కోరుతూ 4752 / 25.4.08 నెంబరు
ఉత్తరాన్ని పంపడం జరిగింది. దానిలో
ముఖ్యమైన విషయాలు మరియు
కారణాలు క్లుప్తంగా ఇవ్వడమైనది
దాని నకలు ఇక్కడ పెట్టడమైనది
దయచేసి పెద్దాపురం
కోర్టు నిర్మాణానికి అనుమతి
ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా కోరుతున్నాము

కోర్టులు ప్రజలకు అందుబాటులో
ఉండాలన్న ధ్యేయంతో గవర్నర్ జనరల్
ఇన్ కౌన్సిల్ 1874 లో ఇచ్చిన చట్టం
ప్రకారం పెద్దాపురంలో గవర్నర్ జనరల్
ఇన్ కౌన్సిల్ చట్టం ప్రకారం
నిర్మించబడిన కోర్టు భవనం
గాలి వెలుతురు లేకుండా
ఇరుకుగా ఉండి, ఎక్కువ మంది
కక్షిదారులు, ఉద్యోగులు
వచ్చినప్పుడు ఇబ్బందులు
కలుగుతున్నాయి. ఈ
కారణాల వల్ల
ఈ కొత్త భవనం
అవసరమని
దరఖాస్తు చేస్తున్నాము

G R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

ರಡಿಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ

ನ.

By order,

Sd/- Rev Secretary

Copy y - The above is forwarded to the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist, returning the Vern. recons received with his letter No. 25 dy 12th July 1915.

By order,

Sd/- Rev - Secretary

GPB—20,000—7 7 14